

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/966,533	09/28/2001	Russell F. Mcknight	P1733US00	4798
24333 75	90 • 04/06/2006	•	EXAMINER	
GATEWAY, INC.			DEANE JR, WILLIAM J	
ATTN: Patent Attorney 610 GATEWAY DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MAIL DROP Y-04 N. SIOUX CITY, SD 57049			2614	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/966,533	MCKNIGHT, RUSSELL F.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		William J. Deane	2642			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
Period fo	• •	V 10 0FT TO EVDIDE	IONTHIO OF THEFT (OR) FANCE			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DIPLICATION OF THE MAILING DIPLIC	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI(36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Ali	CATION. reply be timely filed WTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ja	anuary 2006.				
	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal mat	ers, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •			
11)[_	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		received in this National Stage			
+ -	application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmen		[]				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) 🔼 Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)	_ ·			

Application/Control Number: 09/966,533

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1- 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,631,188 (Sands) in view of U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0131565 (Scheuring et al.).

With respect to claims 1 - 2, 4,10 - 12, 14 - 15,19 and 22 - 26 note that Sands teaches a caller ID unit for identifying caller information associated with an incoming call (see Fig. 5), and scheduling means for a call-back (Abstract).

With respect to the caller ID means it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the caller ID device into the phone 12 as such only entail putting two separate devices used together and incorporating them into one device.

With further respect to the scheduling means and the limitations of claims 4, 14, 19 and 22 – 23, note Fig. 1 of Scheuring et al. and paragraphs 0077 and 0078 of Scheuring et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such a scheduling means as taught by Scheuring et al. into the Sands system as such would only entail the substitution of one scheduling means for another.

With respect to claim 3, note Fig. 1 of Sands.

Art Unit: 2642

With respect to claim 5, note Abstract.

With respect to claim 6, note Abstract and controls (40) of Sands.

With respect to claim 7, note telephone 12 of Sands.

With respect to claim 8, note storage device 26 of Sands.

With respect to claim 9, note storage device 28 of Sands.

With respect to claim 13, note elements 42 and 44 of Sands.

With respect to claims 16 - 18, note telephone 12 and caller ID unit 22. The other means cited would be obvious in view of Sands and the other art cited prior art cited throughout the prosecution of the case.

With respect to claim 21, note that, as broadly claimed, telephone 12 is a portable handheld device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Note the Figs. and Abstracts of the references cited on the accompanying 892.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,533

Art Unit: 2642

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (571) 272-7484. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (703) 273-8300.

02April2006

WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER